

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Series Title	Current Topics in Neurotoxicity	
Chapter Title	Prenatal and Maternal Psychosocial Stress in Primates: Adaptive Plasticity or Vulnerability to Pathology?	
Chapter SubTitle		
Copyright Year	2013	
Copyright Holder	Springer Science + Business Media New York	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Maestriperi
	Particle	
	Given Name	Dario
	Suffix	
	Division	Institute for Mind and Biology
	Organization	The University of Chicago
	Address	5730 South Woodlawn Avenue, 60637, Chicago, IL, USA
	Email	dario@uchicago.edu
Author	Family Name	Klimczuk
	Particle	
	Given Name	Amanda
	Suffix	
	Division	Institute for Mind and Biology
	Organization	The University of Chicago
	Address	5730 South Woodlawn Avenue, 60637, Chicago, IL, USA
	Email	
Abstract	<p>In many species of vertebrates, prenatal and early postnatal stress can have long-lasting consequences for neuroanatomical, neuroendocrine, or behavioral development. In primates including humans, prenatal psychosocial stress and postnatal psychosocial stress induced by the mother's behavior represent important sources of nongenetic maternal effects through which mothers can modify their offspring's phenotype. Prenatal and maternal psychosocial stress are probably mediated by similar physiological mechanisms and primarily including the HPA axis. The biomedical/clinical view, the stress-inoculation model, and the adaptive calibration model make different assumptions and predictions concerning the adaptive or maladaptive developmental consequences of prenatal and maternal psychosocial stress. Studies of experimentally induced prenatal psychosocial stress in primates indicate that fetal programming occurs with characteristics similar to those observed in laboratory rodents and in humans. Studies of naturally occurring maternal psychosocial stress in primates have focused on maternal abuse and rejection of offspring. Although the developmental consequences of exposure to maternal abuse or high rates of maternal rejection are unlikely to be adaptive, exposure to moderate levels of rejection appears to result in physiological and behavioral changes that enhance resilience later in life. It is possible that some aspects of normal parenting in nonhuman primates and humans are designed to be stress inducing to prepare offspring to deal with the psychosocial stress that is an inevitable part of life in complex and competitive social environments.</p>	

Chapter 3

Prenatal and Maternal Psychosocial Stress in Primates: Adaptive Plasticity or Vulnerability to Pathology?

Dario Maestriperi and Amanda Klimczuk

Abstract In many species of vertebrates, prenatal and early postnatal stress can have long-lasting consequences for neuroanatomical, neuroendocrine, or behavioral development. In primates including humans, prenatal psychosocial stress and postnatal psychosocial stress induced by the mother's behavior represent important sources of nongenetic maternal effects through which mothers can modify their offspring's phenotype. Prenatal and maternal psychosocial stress are probably mediated by similar physiological mechanisms and primarily including the HPA axis. The biomedical/clinical view, the stress-inoculation model, and the adaptive calibration model make different assumptions and predictions concerning the adaptive or maladaptive developmental consequences of prenatal and maternal psychosocial stress. Studies of experimentally induced prenatal psychosocial stress in primates indicate that fetal programming occurs with characteristics similar to those observed in laboratory rodents and in humans. Studies of naturally occurring maternal psychosocial stress in primates have focused on maternal abuse and rejection of offspring. Although the developmental consequences of exposure to maternal abuse or high rates of maternal rejection are unlikely to be adaptive, exposure to moderate levels of rejection appears to result in physiological and behavioral changes that enhance resilience later in life. It is possible that some aspects of normal parenting in nonhuman primates and humans are designed to be stress inducing to prepare offspring to deal with the psychosocial stress that is an inevitable part of life in complex and competitive social environments.

D. Maestriperi (✉) • A. Klimczuk
Institute for Mind and Biology, The University of Chicago, 5730 South Woodlawn Avenue,
Chicago, IL 60637, USA
e-mail: dario@uchicago.edu

G. Laviola and S. Macrì (eds.), *Adaptive and Maladaptive Aspects of Developmental Stress*, Current Topics in Neurotoxicity 3, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4614-5605-6_3,
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

27 **3.1 Introduction**

28 Maternal effects are influences a mother's phenotype has on her offspring's
29 phenotype that occur independent of the offspring's genotype (Mousseau and
30 Fox 1998; Maestriperi and Mateo 2009). Maternal phenotypic traits that influence
31 the offspring's phenotype are subject to natural selection so long as they are both
32 variable and heritable. Such traits are *genetic* maternal effects, and the genes under-
33 lying them are called *maternal effect genes*. In contrast, *environmental* maternal
34 effects are nonheritable, because variation in these traits results from extrasomatic
35 rather than genetic differences. A maternal phenotype that is maladapted to the
36 environment—as manifested, for example, in pathological alterations in nutritional
37 state, key physiological parameters, or behavior—negatively impacts the offspring's
38 ability to survive or reproduce. However, environmental maternal effects can some-
39 times be adaptive for a mother or her offspring. On the one hand, maternal effects
40 can help to maximize the mother's fitness by allowing her to adjust her level of
41 parental investment in accordance with prevailing conditions (e.g., by reducing off-
42 spring size or growth rate when food is scarce). On the other hand, maternal effects
43 can also benefit offspring by providing preemptive information about the environ-
44 ment they will likely be born into, thereby enhancing their abilities to survive and
45 reproduce in such an environment. Because the time and energy a mother invests in
46 her current offspring is unavailable for future reproductive effort, the mother and the
47 offspring have different investment optima. Environmental maternal effects are one
48 arena in which this mother–offspring conflict can be staged (see Uller and Pen 2011).
49 (As discussed below, whether maternal effects primarily benefit mothers or their
50 offspring has resulted in different adaptive interpretations of prenatal stress.)

51 Maternal effects are classified as prenatal or postnatal depending on whether
52 parental modification of the offspring phenotype occurs before or after birth. Both
53 types of effects have been documented in many vertebrate species. Prenatal mater-
54 nal effects can be especially strong in birds because mothers can affect offspring
55 development by depositing varying amounts of nutrients, hormones, and other bio-
56 logical substances in their eggs. In placental mammals, prenatal maternal effects are
57 collectively referred to as *fetal programming*. Fetal programming can be very pow-
58 erful because the mother's body serves as the fetus's environment for an extended
59 period of gestation, opening many opportunities for maternal influence of fetal
60 development through nutritional and other physiological mechanisms.

61 Postnatal maternal effects in vertebrates can be quite heterogeneous; they include
62 food provisioning and other forms of parental care that alter offspring body condi-
63 tion, metabolism, and, later, behavior. Maternal effects can also occur in the social
64 domain. For example, in cercopithecine monkeys, a female's dominance rank can
65 affect her offspring's growth rate, age at first reproduction, and adult behavior (see
66 Maestriperi 2009 for a review). In both birds and mammals, maternal effects may
67 facilitate learning and imprinting of social, habitat, and food preferences that match
68 early experiences (Mateo 2009). Other effects are more indirect: for example,
69 parental nest site choice determines the offspring's social environment, which in

turn affects the production of hormones that may have long-term consequences for behavior (Price 1998).

The question of whether maternal effects are adaptive or maladaptive for the offspring is especially relevant for issues of prenatal or maternal psychosocial stress. Prenatal psychosocial stress refers to environmental psychosocial stress the mother experiences during pregnancy and *communicates* to the fetus via transfer of hormones and other physiological substances through the placenta. In utero, maternal hormones can directly affect the fetus's hormones, body, and brain. After birth, the mother's hormones are transferred only through breast milk; however, because she is still the most important aspect of the offspring's early postnatal environment, the mother herself can be a significant source of environmental stress. Therefore, we refer to the psychosocial postnatal stress induced in offspring by the mother's behavior as *maternal stress*. Maternal stress may be only a subset of all psychosocial stress experienced by a young individual, but it is clearly an important source of maternal effects (see the *maternal mediation hypothesis* of environmental stress; e.g., Macrí and Würbel 2006, 2007).

Prenatal and maternal psychosocial stress have been extensively studied in laboratory rodents and in humans. Less is known about these maternal effects in primates (but see Maestriperi 2009; Maestriperi and Groothuis 2012). Studies of nonhuman primates provide important links between the research literature in rodents and humans. If the findings of rodent studies are replicated in nonhuman primates, there is a greater probability that they also apply to humans. Conversely, if processes occurring in humans can also be demonstrated in nonhuman primates, it is likely that such processes have a biological basis and can be studied in other animal models as well.

The effects of prenatal and maternal psychosocial stress are likely mediated by common physiological mechanisms, the most important of which is the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis. Many studies of prenatal stress in laboratory rodents and humans suggest that the most likely mediator of fetal programming is maternal cortisol (e.g., Welberg and Seckl 2001; Glover et al. 2010; Oitzl et al. 2010). Cortisol increases significantly and predictably in relation to a wide range of acute psychosocial stressors. In addition, the difference in concentration between maternal and fetal cortisol is so large that even small fluctuations in maternal cortisol can exert significant effects on fetal physiology (see Del Giudice et al., Chap. 1; Flinn et al. 2011; Del Giudice 2012). Maternal cortisol levels during gestation have been shown to predict behavioral reactivity and HPA functioning in infants and children (Glover et al. 2010; Del Giudice 2012). A number of additional stress-related hormones and neurotransmitters have also been proposed as possible mediators of fetal programming, including maternal and placental corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH), maternal adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH), adrenal steroid hormone dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), serotonin (5-HT), and norepinephrine (NE) (Talge et al. 2007; Glover et al. 2010).

Long-term HPA axis alterations (involving basal secretion of ACTH or cortisol, or hormonal secretion in response to stress or CRH/ACTH challenges or to dexamethasone-induced glucocorticoid negative feedback) induced by prenatal or

115 maternal psychosocial stress could underlie adaptive adjustments in reactivity to
116 environment (e.g., emotional reactivity or metabolic responsiveness), or they could
117 reflect chronic stress-related pathologies such as posttraumatic stress disorder
118 (PTSD). Both phenomena have been well studied in humans and have their parallels
119 in nonhuman primates. In this chapter, we will review and discuss the literature on
120 the effects of prenatal and maternal psychosocial stress in nonhuman primates,
121 addressing whenever possible both their potential adaptive significance and their
122 underlying mechanisms. However, we will first address some conceptual issues
123 regarding the interpretation of these forms of stress.

124 3.2 Prenatal Stress

125 3.2.1 *Conceptual Interpretations of Prenatal Psychosocial Stress*

126 A great deal of developmental research conducted by psychologists, psychiatrists,
127 and biomedical scientists is based on a normative view of brain, neuroendocrine,
128 behavioral, social, emotional, and cognitive development in which any significant
129 deviations from the norm are construed as pathological and maladaptive for the
130 developing organism. Such deviations may include alterations of basic physiologi-
131 cal parameters outside their normal ranges for a particular age and gender, of the
132 timing of particular events during development, or of developmental trajectories
133 such as growth or maturation curves. From this biomedical/clinical perspective, all
134 forms of stress have a negative connotation by definition, and prenatal psychosocial
135 stress is considered a significant risk factor for abnormal fetal and childhood devel-
136 opment and health. Studies informed by this perspective and conducted with humans
137 and laboratory rodents have reported a host of adverse developmental consequences
138 of prenatal psychosocial stress (e.g., Dodic et al. 1999; Kofman 2002; Maccari et
139 2003; Talge et al. 2007; Glover 2011; Morley-Fletcher et al., Chap. 7).



[AU1]

140 In contrast to the biomedical/clinical view, an evolutionary perspective on devel-
141 opment posits that since the environment in which organisms develop can be highly
142 variable, developmental variation may represent adaptation to different environ-
143 mental circumstances rather than pathology. Evolutionary scientists realize that
144 psychosocial stress is an integral part of the lives of all social organisms and that
145 these organisms possess a number of strategies to cope with such stress. Some of
146 these strategies represent short-term responses to acute perturbations of the environ-
147 ment, while others represent long-term adjustments to chronic stressors and other
148 stable features of the environment. Early life, especially in utero, is characterized by
149 high plasticity in brain structure and physiological function and therefore is an ideal
150 period in which to make long-term adjustments to stable characteristics of the
151 environment.

152 Evolutionary interpretations of prenatal psychosocial stress recognize that the
153 maternal body and the placenta acquire information about stressful features of the

mother's social environment and shape the neural and neuroendocrine development of the fetus to be well suited for that environment. One version of these evolutionary interpretations, the *adaptive tuning hypothesis*, suggests that prenatal environmental stress mediated by the mother's body acts as a developmental cue to offspring, predictively programming their future phenotypes to better survive in suboptimal environmental conditions (Gluckman and Hanson 2004; Horton 2005). The adaptive tuning hypothesis assumes that, with the exception of the extremely high levels of stress that tend to result in maladaptive outcomes, the stress an organism experiences prenatally will optimize its postnatal phenotype in an environment featuring that level of stress. However, pathologies can arise if the later environment does not match the early prenatal environment that induced tuning. An extended and more sophisticated version of the adaptive tuning hypothesis of prenatal stress, which also explains postnatal stress, is represented by the adaptive calibration model of stress responsivity (Del Giudice et al. 2011; see below).

A different evolutionary hypothesis proposed by Hayward and Wingfield (2004) maintains that maternally mediated prenatal stress programs the offspring so as to reduce the need for parental investment. In other words, if a mother is stressed and her ability to invest resources in her offspring is diminished, prenatal programming will produce a *thrifty* (smaller, slower growing, or less demanding) offspring to match her offspring's needs to her current provisioning ability. In this case, the maternal/fetal matching benefits the mother at the expense of the fetus. This hypothesis was tested in a series of studies conducted with birds in which both maternal ability and prenatal exposure to stress hormones were experimentally manipulated: mothers had their wing feathers clipped to reduce their foraging ability, and chicks were exposed to higher than average doses of corticosterone through injections into the eggs (Love and Williams 2008; see also Breuner 2008). Increased prenatal corticosterone exposure resulted in higher brood mortality and in the production of lighter offspring, thus matching offspring demand to maternal condition. The results of these studies are consistent with Hayward and Wingfield's hypothesis and showed stress-related increases in egg corticosterone to be an important mechanism underlying *selfish* maternal effects.

Taken together, these different evolutionary hypotheses suggest that developmental programming can be adaptive for offspring as well as mothers. Because maternal and fetal interests overlap significantly, we expect some level of cooperation in the extent to which the fetus is susceptible to the effects of prenatal stress. However, reducing investment in the offspring through brood or litter reduction or by producing smaller and less demanding offspring can provide additional benefits to mothers at a cost to an individual offspring. Insofar as the interests of mothers and offspring diverge, there should be conflict and competition over the extent of fetal programming. The idea that fetal programming could represent an important arena for mother-offspring conflict has been elaborated by Del Giudice (2012).

Del Giudice (2012) challenges the main assumption of the adaptive tuning hypothesis, which views the process of fetal programming as a fully cooperative enterprise in which the mother supplies environmental information via her stress hormone levels and the fetus passively accepts it. He argues instead that the mother

199 and the fetus should be in conflict over the extent of postnatal plasticity, the process
200 through which the after-birth environment can shape or modify the offspring's phe-
201 notype (Ellis et al. 2011). By definition, high postnatal plasticity implies increased
202 susceptibility to the effects of maternal behavior. Thus, the mother would benefit if
203 she were able to increase the offspring's susceptibility to her own behavior beyond
204 the offspring's optimum, as this would give her increased leverage in all subsequent
205 instances of parent-offspring conflict. Conversely, the offspring should avoid
206 becoming too plastic and too susceptible to maternal influence.

207 A growing body of research has shown that there is a great deal of individual
208 variation in vulnerability to early environmental influences, and that this variation is
209 in part genetic and in part environmental (Belsky and Pluess 2009; Ellis et al. 2011).
210 Since prenatal stress increases HPA responsiveness and emotional reactivity, post-
211 natal plasticity can be programmed by prenatal exposure to psychosocial stress,
212 especially in those offspring who carry *plasticity* genes. Therefore, mothers may be
213 selected to amplify the physiological effects of prenatal stress by releasing increas-
214 ing amounts of stress hormones during pregnancy, while fetuses may be selected to
215 reduce them by limiting the levels of maternal hormones that cross the placental
216 barrier. One mechanism for such filtering is the conversion of 50–90% of maternal
217 cortisol into its inactive form, cortisone, by the enzyme placental dehydrogenase
218 11β -HSD2, which normally serves to protect the fetus from excessive cortisol expo-
219 sure. Additional mechanisms also exist for filtering other maternal hormones and
220 neurotransmitters (Del Giudice 2012).

221 3.2.2 Prenatal Psychosocial Stress in Primates

222 There are only a handful of studies of the long-term effects of prenatal stress in
223 nonhuman primates. Most of them have been conducted by the same group of
224 researchers, utilizing one of two species (squirrel monkeys or rhesus macaques) and
225 similar experimental procedures. Schneider and Coe (1993) investigated the effects
226 of chronic prenatal stress in squirrel monkeys by removing pregnant females from
227 their groups and rehousing them with other pregnant females. One group of subjects
228 was rehoused only once; another group was relocated three times into groups with
229 shifting social compositions. After birth, infants were subjected to a standardized
230 battery of neuromotor tests. The offspring of chronically stressed mothers did not
231 differ in body weight from non-stressed controls, but they showed a host of other
232 abnormalities including delayed motor maturation, reduced activity, shortened
233 attention spans, less visual orienting, and poorer balance.

234 A subsequent series of studies by Schneider, Coe, and collaborators investigated
235 the effects of prenatal stress on offspring behavioral and neuroendocrine develop-
236 ment in rhesus macaques (see Coe et al. 2010 for a review). In these experiments,
237 pregnant females were removed from their home cages and exposed to loud, unpre-
238 dictable noise bursts once per day, 5 days per week, for approximately 25% of
239 pregnancy. The prenatally stressed infants were reared together with non-stressed

controls in a nursery to eliminate possible confounds from postnatal maternal behavior. Stressed infants tended to have lower birth weight than controls despite normal gestational length. Additionally, they performed more poorly on neurobehavioral outcomes than controls. Some of these effects appeared to vary based on the timing of prenatal stress: infants stressed early in gestation (days 45–90) performed more poorly on measures of attention (visual orienting) and motor maturity (head posture) than those stressed later in gestation (days 120–134).

The researchers also observed behavioral effects. Prenatally stressed individuals showed reduced exploratory behavior (less climbing and play), increased reactivity to novelty (e.g., higher emotionality and anxiety, more disturbance behavior, stereotypes, clinging and self-clasping, and freezing), and lower sociability (e.g., less time playing and grooming, and less time in proximity to cagemates) in various testing situations. Some of these effects persisted until 4 years of age. These behavioral modifications were accompanied by enhanced basal activity and stress responsiveness of the HPA axis (mainly ACTH, not cortisol), as well as by alterations in brain monoamine neurotransmitters: higher cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of MHPG and DOPAC under basal conditions and higher MHPG and NE levels in response to stress. Fetally stressed infants also demonstrated a prolonged HPA axis response to a pharmacological challenge, suggesting impairment in the glucocorticoid negative feedback system. Finally, at 4 years of age, fetally stressed infants showed decreased neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus and significant decreases in hippocampal volume and in the size of the corpus callosum, indicating long-term effects of prenatal stress on brain structure. Some of the effects of prenatal stress were replicated by administering ACTH for 14 days mid-gestation, confirming hormonal etiologies for the observed changes. Like their stressed counterparts, fetuses experimentally exposed to higher ACTH levels had delayed motor development, shorter attention spans, and increased anxiety and irritability after birth (Coe et al. 2010).

Further evidence that prenatal alterations in HPA axis function can result in long-term neuroanatomical and physiological consequences comes from studies in which pregnant female monkeys were treated with the synthetic glucocorticoid hormone dexamethasone (dex). An early study by Uno et al. (1990) reported that administering dex to pregnant rhesus monkeys as late as 72 h before delivery significantly reduced the density of the newborns' pyramidal neurons, as well as the thickness and circumference of their Ammon's horn and dentate gyrus in the hippocampus. Concordantly, infants whose mothers were treated for 30 days with dexamethasone had smaller hippocampi than controls, with a dose-related loss of neurons. More recently, DeVries et al. (2007) treated pregnant female vervet monkeys with three doses of dex and found that it reduced maternal cortisol in a dose-dependent manner at 22 weeks of pregnancy without affecting gestation length or birth weight. However, the dex treatment did delay postnatal growth. Furthermore, high-dose infants had comparatively heightened cortisol responses to the mild stress of blood sampling when tested at 8 months of age, and all dex-treated infants showed cardiovascular signs of hypertension such as increased heart rate and blood pressure. At 12–14 months of age, dex-treated infants were subjected to a dexamethasone suppression test, which normally suppresses cortisol levels in the evening before

285 they return to basal levels in the morning. The infants showed no difference in
286 morning cortisol in relation to prenatal treatment relative to controls, suggesting no
287 alterations in the glucocorticoid negative feedback mechanism.

288 Taken together, the findings of the limited research on the developmental effects
289 of prenatal psychosocial stress in nonhuman primates demonstrate that fetal pro-
290 gramming does indeed occur, and that it produces long-term alterations in emo-
291 tional and behavioral reactivity, neuroendocrine function, and, in some cases,
292 neuroanatomy, similar to those observed in studies of laboratory rodents and
293 humans. Studies mimicking prenatal stress effects with administration of exoge-
294 nous ACTH or synthetic cortisol have confirmed that maternal corticosteroids are
295 functionally important for fetal programming. Unfortunately, all studies of prenatal
296 psychosocial stress in primates to date have tested individuals housed in artificial
297 experimental laboratory conditions (i.e., adults housed in single cages or
298 small groups, or infants who were permanently separated from their mothers and
299 reared with peers) and have utilized nonnaturalistic psychosocial stressors. Although
300 neuroanatomical alterations induced by severe prenatal stress or the administration
301 of large doses of hormones should probably be interpreted as pathological, no stud-
302 ies have been designed that explicitly test the possible adaptive value of behavioral
303 and physiological changes induced by more moderate levels of stress. Lack of data
304 concerning the social, mating, and reproductive success, or overall health and survi-
305 vorship of prenatally stressed individuals makes even post hoc tests of these hypoth-
306 eses impossible.

307 **3.3 Maternal Stress**

308 **3.3.1 *Conceptual Interpretations of Maternal Psychosocial Stress***

309 The clinical/biomedical view of prenatal stress, which interprets all prenatal pertur-
310 bations as potentially pathological, can be extended to encompass postnatal psycho-
311 social stress as well. The idea is that it is best for the organism to develop in a safe
312 and supportive environment in which all stressors are absent. This view assumes
313 that stressors encountered in the early environment generate damage in a dose-
314 dependent manner such that exposure to moderate stress results in a moderately
315 negative developmental outcomes, while exposure to severe or intense stress results
316 in serious negative developmental outcomes. That is to say, it proposes a linear
317 relationship between the degree of early stress and the severity of its maladaptive
318 consequences.

319 In contrast to the clinical/biomedical view, the *stress-inoculation* model suggests
320 that there is a J-shaped relationship between early stress and unfavorable develop-
321 mental outcomes (Parker et al. 2006; Parker and Maestripieri 2011; Seery 2011).
322 Like the clinical/biomedical model, the inoculation hypothesis predicts that severe
323 or intense early stress will result in serious maladaptation. However, it argues that

too little stress exposure in early life leaves the organism unprepared for future stressful situations, while moderate stress exposure results in adaptive physiological and behavioral adjustments that better prepare the individual to cope with future challenges. In other words, exposure to moderate stress *inoculates* the individual against subsequent exposure, just as exposure to moderate numbers of specific bacteria or viruses allows the body to build an immune response to them in preparation for future encounters.

While the inoculation model makes relatively simple predictions concerning the effects of low, moderate, and high stress on the organism's subsequent resilience and vulnerability to unfavorable circumstances, the *adaptive calibration model* (Del Giudice et al. 2011) makes more complex predictions about the phenotypic consequences of early stress exposure using *conditional adaptation* and life-history theory as its guiding principles. This model predicts that in relatively non-stressful environments, organisms are at low risk of mortality and are thus free to exhibit *slow* lifestyles characterized by unhurried physical and sexual maturation, low anxiety, increased time devoted to learning, less risk taking, and more delayed gratification. Exposure to moderate stress should result in increased resilience, including low anxiety and reactivity to challenges but high sensitivity to social feedback. Finally, exposure to high stress, which indicates that the external environment is dangerous or unpredictable, should produce phenotypes adapted for *fast* lifestyles with high vigilance and anxiety, riskier behavior, and less delayed gratification. Even physiological and psychological alterations induced by severe early stress, such as hyporeactivity of the HPA axis, hyperaggressiveness, and reduced empathy, could be adaptations to life in a dangerous environment.

The three models discussed above—clinical, stress inoculation, and adaptive calibration—apply to all forms of postnatal psychosocial stress, including psychosocial stress induced by the mother's behavior. Human empirical research, which is usually conducted from the biomedical/clinical perspective, has tended to interpret all deviations in maternal responsiveness and parenting style from what is considered the norm or the optimum as damaging for children. For example, the insecure–ambivalent, insecure–avoidant, and especially the insecure–disorganized–disoriented patterns of attachment are all conceived as pathologies that result from suboptimal parental responsiveness or even from abusive or neglectful parenting behavior. However, the adaptive calibration model acknowledges that all insecure attachment patterns might have adaptive value (Del Giudice et al. 2011). For example, ambivalently attached children display patterns of HPA axis, sympathetic, and behavioral reactivity that would be useful in a socially unpredictable environment, while the insecure–avoidant attachment pattern is associated with patterns of HPA axis, sympathetic, and behavioral reactivity that suggest adaptation to a harsh and unsupportive environment (Loman and Gunnar 2010). Even the disorganized–disoriented attachment pattern, with its reactive neuroendocrine profile characterized by extremely elevated and sustained cortisol responses to psychosocial stress (Loman and Gunnar 2010), could have functional value if it successfully prepares children to deal with hostile and dangerous relationships (Del Giudice et al. 2011).

369 3.3.2 *Maternal Psychosocial Stress in Primates*

370 A great deal of research on early psychosocial stress and development in primates
371 has focused on stress experimentally induced by separating infants from their moth-
372 ers and rearing them under conditions of social deprivation (see Parker and
373 Maestripieri 2011 for a review). Much less attention has been devoted to psychoso-
374 cial stress naturally induced through maternal behavior. Such studies have mainly
375 been conducted with cercopithecine monkeys and have focused on two aspects of
376 stress-inducing maternal behavior: abusive behavior and rejection.

377 3.3.2.1 *Maternal Abuse*

378 Among rhesus macaques and other cercopithecine monkeys living in large captive
379 groups, 5–10% of all infants born in a given year are physically abused by their
380 mothers (Maestripieri et al. 1997; Maestripieri and Carroll 1998a, b). In rhesus
381 macaques, abusive mothers may drag their infants by their tail or leg, or throw them
382 in the air. Abuse bouts last only a few seconds, and the rest of the time abusive
383 mothers show competent patterns of maternal behavior. Abuse is most frequent in
384 the first month of infant life and rare or nonexistent after the third month, when
385 infants are more independent from their mothers (Maestripieri 1998). Rhesus moth-
386 ers can give birth once a year, and abusive mothers generally maltreat all of their
387 infants with similar rates and patterns of behavior (Maestripieri et al. 1999).
388 The contributions of infant behavior to the occurrence of abuse are negligible,
389 whereas abusive behavior appears to be a stable maternal trait that is transmitted
390 across generations, from mothers to daughters. As a result, it is concentrated in
391 particular families and absent in others (Maestripieri and Carroll 1998a). Cross-
392 fostering experiments demonstrated that early experience plays an important role in
393 the intergenerational transmission of infant abuse (Maestripieri 2005). Approximately
394 half of cross-fostered and non-cross-fostered females abused early in life exhibit
395 abusive parenting with their first-born offspring (Maestripieri 2005), and those who
396 do so have lower CSF concentrations of the serotonin metabolite 5-HIAA than
397 those who do not (Maestripieri et al. 2006a, 2007).

398 Maternal abuse is both physically and psychologically stressful for a monkey
399 infant. Moreover, even if abuse is limited to the first months of infant life, continuous
400 coexistence with the abusive mother and observation of abuse being repeated with
401 younger siblings could contribute to reinforce and perpetuate the traumatic effects of
402 abuse into adulthood. Observations of social and behavioral development have sug-
403 gested that abused infants may be delayed in the acquisition of independence from
404 their mothers and in the development of peer relations in the first year of life
405 (Maestripieri and Carroll 1998b). In addition, the stressful experience of being abused
406 early in life results in both acute and long-term alterations in HPA axis function.

407 In a preliminary study, 10 abused and 10 control infants were studied during their
408 first 6 months of life (McCormack et al. 2009). Basal morning levels of cortisol



were measured at 1, 3, and 6 months of age, and ACTH and cortisol responses to stress were measured in month 6. In addition, infants were genotyped for the serotonin transporter (SERT) gene, and individuals carrying one or two copies of the short allele of this gene were compared to those carrying two copies of the long allele. During the first month, when physical abuse rates were the highest, abused infants had elevated basal morning cortisol levels compared to controls and showed greater distress responses to handling. In addition to a main effect of abuse on basal cortisol levels, there was also a significant interaction between early experience and SERT genotype: the effects of abuse on basal cortisol levels were especially strong in infants carrying the short SERT allele. After the first month, abused infants' basal HPA axis function recovered to levels similar to controls. Despite the normalization of basal activity, there were group by sex effects on the HPA axis stress response in month 6: abused males showed significantly higher ACTH stress responses than control males when exposed to novelty stress in the absence of the mother. The heightened ACTH stress responses were associated with higher levels of anxious behaviors at that age. Thus, abused infants—especially those with genetic vulnerabilities—exhibited both increased HPA axis activity and increased emotional reactivity not only during, but also a few months following, abuse.

In a larger follow-up study comparing 22 abused and 21 nonabused rhesus monkey infants over the first 3 years of life, plasma cortisol responses to psychosocial stress (a novel environment test) were assessed at 6-month intervals, and behavioral measures were assessed at 1-month intervals. Infants showed a significant increase in cortisol in response to stress test at all ages, and infants that were physically abused by their mothers showed a higher cortisol response than control infants at 1 year of age (Koch et al. 2011). Furthermore, abused infants showed significantly different responses to CRF challenges performed at 6-month intervals during their first 3 years of life when compared to nonabused infants (Sanchez et al. 2010). Specifically, the administration of exogenous CRF resulted in a greater increase in plasma cortisol concentrations in both male- and female-abused infants at 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, and 36 months of age. Abused infants also showed a blunted plasma ACTH response to CRF, but this difference was observed only at 6 months of age. This dampened ACTH response may be the result of negative feedback glucocorticoid inhibition, which normally inhibits the secretion of ACTH when there is a rapid increase in circulating cortisol, or of dysfunctional mechanisms that regulate the anterior pituitary's response to hypothalamic CRF. Altogether these results suggest that early maternal abuse results in greater adrenocortical (and possibly pituitary) responsiveness to challenges later in life.

All of the published research on the developmental effects of maternal abuse in macaques has been conducted with infants exposed to relatively low rates of abuse, whose life was not in jeopardy and who, in many cases, suffered only minor bruises and scratches that did not require external intervention. Since no data are available on the behavioral and neuroendocrine development of infants exposed to much more severe levels of abuse, the effects of variation in abuse intensity on the development of stress vulnerability vs. resilience are not well understood. The data reviewed above suggest that infants exposed to moderate levels of abuse exhibit

454 increased vulnerability to stress later in life. This effect, however, may be driven by
455 the high rates of maternal rejection that typically accompany maternal abuse rather
456 than to abuse itself. Further research is needed to examine the effects of different
457 levels of abuse on development and to disentangle the effects of high maternal rejection
458 and abuse when they co-occur.

459 3.3.2.2 Maternal Rejection

460 Maternal rejection describes behaviors a mother performs that prevent the infant
461 from making contact with her body or gaining access to her nipples (such as holding
462 the infant at a distance or blocking her chest with an arm), as well as behaviors that
463 forcefully remove the infant from the nipple and interrupt physical contact (such as
464 pushing the infant away). Mothers also reject their infants by administering painful
465 hits or bites. Being denied bodily contact and access to the nipples, even in the
466 absence of physical aggression, causes significant distress to infants, who respond
467 with loud and persistent vocalizations (screams and geckers) and temper tantrums
468 (lying on ground and acting as if they are having seizures) (Maestripieri 2002).
469 Frequently rejected infants also show behavioral signs of depression. Maternal
470 rejection is clearly a physically and psychologically stressful experience for primate
471 infants.

472 Although maternal rejection rates change as a function of infant age and the
473 mother's own age and experience, individual differences in rejection rates are generally
474 consistent over time and across infants (Fairbanks 1996). In rhesus monkeys,
475 infants are generally rejected in the third or fourth week of life at the rate of 1 episode
476 every 2 h, or less (Maestripieri 1998). The rate of rejection gradually increases
477 as infants grow older, peaking at 6 months of age when mothers resume their mating
478 activities. However, some infants do not experience rejection at all, while others are
479 rejected at the rate of 3–4 or more episodes per hour as early as in their first week of
480 life (Maestripieri 1998).

481 Several studies of macaques and vervet monkeys have examined variation in
482 infants' independence from their mothers and their tendency to explore the environment
483 or respond to challenges, at various ages in relation to exposure to variable
484 levels of maternal rejection in early infancy. An early study of rhesus monkeys
485 reported that, following a 2-week separation from their mothers, infants whose
486 mothers had been highly rejecting prior to the separation exhibited elevations in
487 cortisol at reunion, while infants with non-rejecting mothers showed a marked
488 decrease in cortisol levels (Gunnar et al. 1981). This finding may suggest that highly
489 rejected infants are anxious about their relationships with their mothers and that
490 they are not soothed by a reunion following separation because they may anticipate
491 rejection. Consistent with the hypothesis that highly rejected infants are anxious,
492 rhesus monkeys exposed to high levels of maternal rejection in the first few months
493 of life tend to explore their environments less (Simpson 1985; see also Maestripieri
494 et al. 2009). Other studies, however, found that infants reared by highly rejecting
495 mothers generally develop independence (e.g., spend more time out of contact with



their mothers, explore the environment more, and play more with their peers) at an earlier age than infants reared by mothers with low rejection levels (Simpson et al. 1989; Simpson and Datta 1990; Bardi et al. 2005; Bardi and Huffman 2006). These seemingly conflicting results can be reconciled by the notion that maternal rejection has opposite short- and long-term effects on infant dependence; highly rejected infants initially respond with increased clinginess and reluctance to leave their mothers, but eventually resign themselves to independence (Maestripieri et al. 2009).

Long-term effects of maternal rejection on reactivity to the environment can be observed in adolescence and also in adulthood. In vervet monkeys, adolescent males reared by highly rejecting mothers were more willing to approach and challenge a strange adult male (Fairbanks et al. 1989). Similarly, in Japanese macaques, Schino et al. (2001) found that individuals that were rejected more by their mothers early in life were less likely to respond with submissive signals or with avoidance to an approach from another individual and exhibited lower rates of scratching in the 5-min period following the receipt of aggression. Finally, Maestripieri et al. (2006b) showed that rhesus macaques that were rejected more by their mothers in the first 6 months of life engaged more in solitary play and showed greater avoidance of other individuals at age 2. In this study, the association between maternal behavior and offspring behaviors later in life was also reported in infants that were cross-fostered at birth and reared by unrelated adult females, which rules out potential confounds of inherited temperamental similarities between mothers and offspring.

Developmental differences in reactivity to novel stimuli or responsiveness to other individuals are likely to be accompanied by differences in the neurochemical and neuroendocrine substrates that regulate emotional and social processes. Maestripieri et al. (2006a, b) reported that offspring reared by mothers with higher levels of maternal rejection exhibited lower CSF levels of the serotonin metabolite 5-HIAA, the norepinephrine metabolite MHPG, and the dopamine metabolite HVA in the first 3 years of life than offspring reared by mothers with lower levels of rejection. These differences were observed in both non-fostered and cross-fostered infants. Furthermore, CSF MHPG levels in the second year of life were negatively correlated with solitary play and avoidance of other individuals, while CSF 5-HIAA levels were negatively correlated with scratching rates, suggesting that individuals with low CSF 5-HIAA had higher anxiety. A significant association between exposure to high maternal rejection and low CSF levels of 5-HIAA in the offspring was also reported in another population of free-ranging rhesus monkeys (Maestripieri et al. 2009). In this study, rhesus mothers who rejected their infants at high rates exhibited higher cortisol responses to stress, suggesting that these may be individuals under chronic stress. Altogether, these studies suggest that exposure to maternal rejection early in life may affect the development of different neural circuits underlying emotion regulation, ranging from fear to anxiety to impulse control.

When the behavioral and physiological effects of maternal rejection are considered together, they are generally consistent with the predictions of the stress-inoculation model. In fact, the data reviewed above suggest that infants that experience little or no rejection become fearful and behaviorally inhibited later in life, whereas those exposed to extremely high rates of rejection become highly



541 anxious and impulsive. The behavior of infants exposed to moderate levels of
542 rejection in the first few months of life suggests that they show adaptive responses
543 to challenges and resilience to stress later on. An ongoing longitudinal study in our
544 laboratory is investigating the development of the HPA axis and of the brain mono-
545 aminergic systems in three groups of rhesus monkey infants exposed to low, moder-
546 ate, and high rates of maternal rejection early in life. Following the inoculation
547 model, we predict that infants exposed to high and low levels of maternal rejection
548 will exhibit stress-vulnerable neurobiological phenotypes (e.g., high basal cortisol
549 levels, increased HPA axis responses to social and pharmacological challenges, dys-
550 regulation of peptide and monoamine systems involved in arousal and affective
551 responses) compared to infants that received moderate levels of maternal rejection
552 and exhibit resilient neurobiological phenotypes (e.g., comparatively lower basal
553 cortisol levels; diminished HPA axis responses to social and pharmacological chal-
554 lenges; normative CSF levels of peptides and monoamine metabolites involved in
555 arousal and affective responses). To assess whether these effects of early maternal
556 rejection on offspring emotional and stress reactivity are adaptive and will help
557 these individuals cope with psychosocial stressors later in life, we will analyze the
558 different patterns of emotional and stress reactivity in relation to differences in dom-
559 inance rank, aggression performed and received, mating success, health, and
560 ultimately longevity and reproductive success.

561 While the fitness consequences remain unproven, exposure to variable maternal
562 rejection has definite phenotypic consequences for grown offspring for which adap-
563 tive hypotheses can be advanced. Two different studies so far have reported positive
564 significant correlations between the rejection rates of rhesus mothers and those of
565 their adult daughters (Berman 1990; Maestripieri et al. 2007), indicating that rejec-
566 tion rates are transmitted across generations. Maestripieri et al. (2007) found signifi-
567 cant similarities in maternal rejection rates between mothers and daughters for both
568 non-fostered and cross-fostered rhesus females, suggesting that the daughters'
569 behavior was affected by exposure to their mothers' rejection in their first 6 months
570 of life. Both non-fostered and cross-fostered rhesus females reared by mothers with
571 high rates of maternal rejection had significantly lower CSF concentrations of the
572 serotonin metabolite 5-HIAA in their first 3 years of life than females reared by
573 mothers with lower (below the median) rates of maternal rejection, and low CSF
574 5-HIAA was associated with high rejection rates when the daughters produced and
575 reared their first offspring (Maestripieri et al. 2006a, 2007). Therefore, the lower
576 serotonergic function resulting from exposure to high maternal rejection rates in
577 infancy contributes to the expression of high maternal rejection rates in adulthood
578 in these females.

579 Different maternal rejection rates may represent adaptations to particular mater-
580 nal characteristics (e.g., dominance rank, body condition, or age) or demographic
581 and ecological circumstances (e.g., availability of food or social support from rela-
582 tives) (Hauser and Fairbanks 1988; Fairbanks and McGuire 1995). For example,
583 rejection rates are generally high in females of high dominance rank and good body
584 condition who are under pressure to wean their infants quickly and produce an
585 infant every year. Rejection rates, however, are also high in extremely old females



in poor body condition, or in females under severe nutritional or social stress, because these females must reduce investment in their offspring to concentrate on their own survival and future reproduction. In cercopithecine monkeys, mothers and daughters have very similar dominance ranks and share their environment as well. Both dominance ranks and patterns of rank-related psychosocial stress are extremely stable for rhesus females, not only during their life span but also across generations within their families. Therefore, insofar as a particular rate of maternal rejection represents an adaptation to a stressful social microenvironment, the transgenerational conservation of parenting style via stress effects and social learning represents a likely avenue for non-genomic transmission of behavioral adaptations.



3.4 Conclusions

Prenatal psychosocial stress, mediated by the mother's body and her hormones, and postnatal psychosocial stress induced by the mother's behavior can have similar programming effects on the infant's or child's social, emotional, and neuroendocrine development. These effects represent one particular type of evolutionary maternal effects, in which the mother's phenotype influences and shapes the offspring's phenotype, without direct transmission of genetic information or modification of the offspring's genotype. The physiological mechanisms underlying the prenatal and postnatal effects of psychosocial stress are probably similar, or the same, and involve the HPA axis and other neuroendocrine systems involved in emotion regulation and reactivity to the environment. Although stress-related maternal effects and their underlying mechanisms have been investigated to a lesser extent in nonhuman primates than in laboratory rodents or in humans, the available evidence suggests that these processes are largely similar across these different species of mammals.



Rodents and primates (including humans), however, differ in some key aspects of their life histories and social environments, and this has potentially important implications for the occurrence, characteristics, and possible adaptive significance of prenatal and postnatal stress-related maternal effects. First, many rodent species have a relatively short life span: they become reproductively active rapidly and reproduce quickly, and through the production of large litters, the processes of pregnancy and lactation are brief, and mothers have relatively few opportunities to shape the phenotype of the offspring, aside from the windows of time provided by pregnancy and the immediate postpartum period. Second, although it is relatively easy to experimentally induce psychosocial stress in pregnant or lactating laboratory rodent females, for example, by altering their housing conditions, it is not immediately clear what the naturalistic equivalents of these laboratory stressors may be and what are the most common forms of psychosocial stress encountered by pregnant or lactating females in the wild. Rodents do not live in complex societies similar to those of some primate species, in which psychosocial stress is an integral part of life; the extent to which prenatal or postnatal psychosocial stress is an important

627 source of maternal effects in wild population of rodents is unclear. Moreover, even
628 though laboratory rodents exhibit naturally occurring differences in maternal care
629 styles that have important consequences for offspring development (see Champagne
630 and Curley 2009 for a review), it is not clear that these effects are mediated by
631 stress-related mechanisms. For example, to our knowledge, rodent mothers do not
632 spontaneously exhibit stress-inducing behaviors toward their offspring that are
633 structurally or functionally similar to maternal rejection/neglect or abusive mother-
634 ing in monkeys and humans.

635 In primates that live in complex and highly competitive societies such as humans,
636 chimpanzees, and many cercopithecine monkeys, the mother–infant relationship is
637 deeply embedded in the social environment so that, for example, other social rela-
638 tionships the mother has with her partner, or previous children, or other family
639 members, friends, coworkers, and competitors can have a direct and profound influ-
640 ence on her psychological well-being, her neuroendocrine function, her health, and
641 therefore also on the quantity and quality of her interactions with her fetus, infant,
642 or child. The long periods of gestation and lactation and the correspondingly long
643 and slow process of growth and maturation of the offspring provide many opportu-
644 nities for prenatal and postnatal maternal effects to operate.

645 The question of whether prenatal and postnatal maternal psychosocial stress
646 have adaptive or maladaptive consequences in primates remains open to empirical
647 investigation and to debate. It is possible to hypothesize that, during the evolution-
648 ary history of humans and other primates, psychosocial stress was such an inevit-
649 able and significant feature of the pregnancy and lactation periods that the maternal
650 body at some point became selected to use the mechanisms and effects of such
651 stress to influence and shape the phenotype of the developing offspring in a manner
652 that was adaptive to herself or the offspring or both. In other words, since psycho-
653 social stress during pregnancy and lactation is inevitable and may also be a good
654 predictor of stressors encountered later in life, mothers began to *prepare* their
655 fetuses, infants, and children and endow them with physiological, behavioral, and
656 emotional/cognitive adaptations that would allow them to cope with stress in an
657 optimal way throughout their life. Exposure to moderate stress early in life can
658 promote the acquisition and fine-tuning of these physiological, behavioral, and
659 emotional/cognitive mechanisms to cope with stress similar to the process through
660 which exposure to moderate amounts of pathogens early in life strengthens the
661 immune system and inoculates the body against future exposure to the same or simi-
662 lar pathogens. Thus, it is possible that mothers are not simply vehicles for passively
663 transferring information from a surrounding stressful environment to their offspring,
664 but in some cases they actively generate a moderate amount of psychosocial stress
665 through their behavior so as to give their offspring the opportunity to develop the
666 tools to deal with it.

667 Nonhuman primates are ideal animal models with which to test this hypothesis
668 and other similar hypotheses concerning the adaptive significance of prenatal and
669 postnatal maternal psychosocial stress. Primate mothers encourage the nutritional
670 and social independence of their infants through behaviors such as rejection, which
671 have the long-term effects of reducing the amount and frequency with which infants

seek to be in contact and gain access to their mothers' nipples for suckling. We believe that the fact that maternal rejection generates significant psychosocial stress in the offspring is not an inevitable and inconsequential by-product of the weaning process. Rather, this stress is a phenomenon that needs an explanation. And this explanation may be that when mothers reject their infants, they simultaneously accomplish different goals: they encourage their infants to be nutritionally and socially independent, and they also give them the opportunity to develop the appropriate tools to deal with psychosocial stress and be inoculated against future exposures later in life.

The notion that parenting behavior can shape the physiological, behavioral, and emotional/cognitive mechanisms with which children react to stress is clearly applicable to humans. Authoritative parents often discipline their children in ways that generate a moderate amount of stress in the children. The traditional interpretation of parental discipline is that it is aimed at shaping the behavior of the child in way that conforms to the norms and expectations that society and parents have about child's behavior. The hypothesis that parentally induced psychosocial stress has the adaptive effect of enhancing the child's mechanisms for coping with stress is empirically testable and, if supported by data, would significantly increase our understanding of parent-child relationships, of the development of stress reactivity over the life span, and of the role of maternal effects in the process of adaptation to the environment.

References



Bardi M, Huffman MA (2006) Maternal behavior and maternal stress are associated with infant behavioral development. *Dev Psychobiol* 48:1-9

Bardi M, Bode AE, Ramirez SM (2005) Maternal care and development of stress responses in baboons. *Am J Primatol* 66:263-278

Belsky J, Pluess M (2009) Beyond diathesis-stress: differential susceptibility to environmental influences. *Psychol Bull* 135:885-908

Berman CM (1990) Intergenerational transmission of maternal rejection rates among free-ranging rhesus monkeys. *Anim Behav* 39:329-337

Breuner C (2008) Maternal stress, glucocorticoids, and the maternal/fetal match hypothesis. *Horm Behav* 54:485-487

Champagne FA, Curley JP (2009) The trans-generational influence of maternal care on offspring gene expression and behavior in rodents. In: Maestripieri D, Mateo JM (eds) *Maternal effects in mammals*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 182-202

Coe CL, Lubach GR, Crispen HR, Shirtcliff EA, Schneider ML (2010) Challenges to maternal wellbeing during pregnancy impact temperament, attention, and neuromotor responses in the infant rhesus monkey. *Dev Psychobiol* 52:625-637

Del Giudice M (2012) Fetal programming by maternal stress: insights from a conflict perspective. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 37:1614-1629

Del Giudice M, Ellis BJ, Shirtcliff EA (2011) The adaptive calibration model of stress responsiveness. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 35:1562-1592

DeVries A, Holmes MC, Heijnis A, Seier JV, Heerden J, Louw J, Wolfe-Coote S, Meaney MJ, Levitt NS, Seckl JR (2007) Prenatal dexamethasone exposure induces changes in nonhuman

- 716 primate offspring cardiometabolic and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis function. *J Clin*
717 *Invest* 117:1058–1067
- 718 Dodic M, Peers A, Coghlan JP, Wintour M (1999) Can excess glucocorticoid, in utero, predispose
719 to cardiovascular and metabolic disease in middle age? *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 10:86–91
- 720 Ellis BJ, Boyce WT, Belsky J, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, van Ijzendoorn MH (2011) Differential
721 susceptibility to the environment: an evolutionary-neurodevelopmental theory. *Dev*
722 *Psychopathol* 23:7–28
- 723 Fairbanks LA (1996) Individual differences in maternal styles: causes and consequences for moth-
724 ers and offspring. *Adv Study Behav* 25:579–611
- 725 Fairbanks LA, McGuire MT (1988) Long-term effects of early mothering behavior on responsive-
726 ness to the environment in vervet monkeys. *Dev Psychobiol* 21:711–724
- 727 Fairbanks LA, McGuire MT (1995) Maternal condition and the quality of maternal care in vervet
728 monkeys. *Behaviour* 132:733–754
- 729 Flinn MV, Nepomnaschy PA, Muehlenbein MP, Ponzio D (2011) Evolutionary functions of early
730 social modulation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis development in humans. *Neurosci*
731 *Biobehav Rev* 35:1611–1629
- 732 Glover V (2011) Prenatal stress and the origins of psychopathology: an evolutionary perspective.
733 *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 52:356–367
- 734 Glover V, O'Connor TG, O'Donnell K (2010) Prenatal stress and the programming of the HPA
735 axis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 35:17–22
- 736 Gluckman PD, Hanson MA (2004) Living with the past: evolution, development, and patterns of
737 disease. *Science* 305:1733–1736
- 738 Gunnar MR, Gonzalez C, Goodlin C, Levine S (1981) Behavioral and pituitary-adrenal responses
739 during a prolonged separation period in infant rhesus macaques. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*
740 6:65–75
- 741 Hauser MD, Fairbanks LA (1988) Mother-offspring conflict in vervet monkeys: variation in
742 response to ecological conditions. *Anim Behav* 36:802–813
- 743 Hayward LS, Wingfield JC (2004) Maternal corticosterone is transferred to avian yolk and may
744 alter offspring growth and adult phenotype. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 135:365–371
- 745 Horton TH (2005) Fetal origins of developmental plasticity: animal models of induced life history
746 variation. *Am J Hum Biol* 7:34–43
- 747 Koch H, McCormack KM, Sanchez MM, Maestripieri D (2011) The development of the
748 hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis function in rhesus monkeys: effects of age, sex, and early
749 experience. *Dev Psychopathol* 23:1187–1195
- 750 Kofman O (2002) The role of prenatal stress in the etiology of developmental behavioural disor-
751 ders. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 26:457–470
- 752 Loman MM, Gunnar MR (2010) Early experience and the development of stress reactivity and
753 regulation in children. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 34:867–876
- 754 Love OP, Williams TD (2008) The adaptive value of stress-induced phenotypes: effects of mater-
755 nally derived corticosterone on sex-biased investment, cost of reproduction, and maternal fit-
756 ness. *Am Nat* 172:135–149
- 757 Maccari S, Darnaudery M, Morley-Fletcher S, Zuena AR, Cinque C, Van Reeth O (2003) Prenatal
758 stress and long-term consequences: implications of glucocorticoid hormones. *Neurosci*
759 *Biobehav Rev* 27:119–127
- 760 Macr S, Wrbel H (2006) Developmental plasticity of HPA and fear responses in rats: a critical
761 review of the maternal mediation hypothesis. *Horm Behav* 50:667–680
- 762 Macr S, Wrbel H (2007) Effects of variation in postnatal maternal environment on maternal
763 behaviour and fear and stress responses in rats. *Anim Behav* 73:171–184
- 764 Maestripieri D (1998) Parenting styles of abusive mothers in group-living rhesus macaques. *Anim*
765 *Behav* 55:1–11
- 766 Maestripieri D (2002) Parent-offspring conflict in primates. *Int J Primatol* 23:923–951
- 767 Maestripieri D (2005) Early experience affects the intergenerational transmission of infant abuse
768 in rhesus monkeys. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102:9726–9729



3 Prenatal and Maternal Psychosocial Stress in Primates...




Maestripiéri D (2009) Maternal influences on offspring growth, reproduction, and behavior in primates. In: Maestripiéri D, Mateo JM (eds) <i>Maternal effects in mammals</i> . The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 256–291	769 770 771
Maestripiéri D, Carroll KA (1998a) Risk factors for infant abuse and neglect in rhesus monkeys. <i>Psychol Sci</i> 9:143–145	772 773
Maestripiéri D, Carroll KA (1998b) Behavioral and environmental correlates of infant abuse in group-living pigtail macaques. <i>Infant Behav Dev</i> 21:603–612	774 775
Maestripiéri D, Groothuis TTG (2012) Parental influences on offspring personality traits in oviparous and placental vertebrates. In: Carere C, Maestripiéri D (eds) <i>Animal personalities: behavior, physiology, and evolution</i> . The University of Chicago Press, Chicago	776 777 778
Maestripiéri D, Mateo JM (eds) (2009) <i>Maternal effects in mammals</i> . The University of Chicago Press, Chicago	779 780
Maestripiéri D, Wallen K, Carroll KA (1997) Infant abuse runs in families of group-living pigtail macaques. <i>Child Abuse Negl</i> 21:465–471	781 782
Maestripiéri D, Tomaszycycki M, Carroll KA (1999) Consistency and change in the behavior of rhesus macaque abusive mothers with successive infants. <i>Dev Psychobiol</i> 34:29–35	783 784
Maestripiéri D, Higley JD, Lindell SG, Newman TK, McCormack KM, Sanchez MM (2006a) Early maternal rejection affects the development of monoaminergic systems and adult abusive parenting in rhesus macaques. <i>Behav Neurosci</i> 120:1017–1024	785 786 787
Maestripiéri D, McCormack K, Lindell SG, Higley JD, Sanchez MM (2006b) Influence of parenting style on the offspring's behavior and CSF monoamine metabolites levels in crossfostered and noncrossfostered female rhesus macaques. <i>Behav Brain Res</i> 175:90–95	788 789 790
Maestripiéri D, Lindell SG, Higley JD (2007) Intergenerational transmission of maternal behavior in rhesus monkeys and its underlying mechanisms. <i>Dev Psychobiol</i> 49:165–171	791 792
Maestripiéri D, Hoffman CL, Anderson GM, Carter CS, Higley JD (2009) Mother-infant interactions in free-ranging rhesus macaques: relationships between physiological and behavioral variables. <i>Physiol Behav</i> 96:613–619	793 794 795
Mateo JM (2009) Maternal influences on development, social relationships, and survival behaviors. In: Maestripiéri D, Mateo JM (eds) <i>Maternal effects in mammals</i> . The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 133–158	796 797 798
McCormack K, Newman TK, Higley JD, Maestripiéri D, Sanchez MM (2009) Serotonin transporter gene variation, infant abuse, and responsiveness to stress in rhesus macaque mothers and infants. <i>Horm Behav</i> 55:538–547	799 800 801
Mousseau TA, Fox CW (eds) (1998) <i>Maternal effects as adaptations</i> . Oxford University Press, Oxford	802 803
Oitzl MS, Champagne DL, Van der Veen R, de Kloet ER (2010) Brain development under stress: hypotheses of glucocorticoid actions revisited. <i>Neurosci Biobehav Rev</i> 34:853–866	804 805
Parker KJ, Maestripiéri D (2011) Identifying key features of early stressful experiences that produce stress vulnerability and resilience in primates. <i>Neurosci Biobehav Rev</i> 35:1466–1483	806 807
Parker KJ, Buckmaster CL, Sundlass K, Schatzberg AF, Lyons DM (2006) Maternal mediation, stress inoculation, and the development of neuroendocrine stress resistance in primates. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 103:3000–3005	808 809 810
Price T (1998) Maternal and paternal effects in birds. In: Mousseau TA, Fox CW (eds) <i>Maternal effects as adaptations</i> . Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 202–226	811 812
Sanchez MM, McCormack K, Grand AP, Fulks R, Graff A, Maestripiéri D (2010) Effects of early maternal abuse and sex on ACTH and cortisol responses to the CRH challenge during the first 3 years of life in group-living rhesus monkeys. <i>Dev Psychopathol</i> 22:45–53	813 814 815
Schino G, Speranza L, Troisi A (2001) Early maternal rejection and later social anxiety in juvenile and adult Japanese macaques. <i>Dev Psychobiol</i> 38:186–190	816 817
Schneider ML, Coe CL (1993) Repeated social stress during pregnancy impairs neuromotor development of the primate infant. <i>J Dev Behav Pediatr</i> 14:81–87	818 819
Seery MD (2011) Resilience: a silver lining to experiencing adverse life events? <i>Curr Dir Psychol Sci</i> 20:390–394	820 821



- 822 Simpson MJA (1985) Effects of early experience on the behaviour of yearling rhesus monkeys
823 (*Macaca mulatta*) in the presence of a strange object: classification and correlation approaches.
824 *Primates* 26:57–72
- 825 Simpson MJA, Datta SB (1990) Predicting infant enterprise from early relationships in rhesus
826 macaques. *Behaviour* 116:42–63
- 827 Simpson MJA, Gore MA, Janus M, Rayment FDG (1989) Prior experience of risk and individual
828 differences in enterprise shown by rhesus monkey infants in the second half of their first year.
829 *Primates* 30:493–509
- 830 Talge NM, Neal C, Glover V et al (2007) Antenatal maternal stress and long-term effects on child
831 neurodevelopment: how and why? *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 48:245–261
- 832 Uller T, Pen I (2011) A theoretical model of the evolution of maternal effects under parent-
833 offspring conflict. *Evolution* 65:2075–2084
- 834 Uno H, Lohmiller L, Thieme C, Kemnitz JW, Engle MJ, Roecker EB, Farrell PM (1990) Brain
835 damage induced by prenatal exposure to dexamethasone in fetal rhesus macaques. I.
836 Hippocampus. *Dev Brain Res* 53:157–167
- 837 Welberg LA, Seckl JR (2001) Prenatal stress, glucocorticoids, and the programming of the brain.
838 *J Neuroendocrinol* 132:113–128

Author Queries

Chapter No.: 3 0001727675

Queries	Details Required	Author's Response
AU1	Please provide specific chapter cross references for Del Giudice et al., Chap. 1 and Morley-Fletcher et al., Chap. 2 as the author names are not matching with the chapter number given in TOC	
AU2	Fairbanks et al. (1989) is cited in text but not given in the reference list. Please provide details in the list or delete the citation from the text.	
AU3	Please cite the uncited reference Fairbanks and McGuire 1988 in the text.	

Uncorrected Proof